

Multi-Resolution Environments in Simulations Workshop

12 - 13 August 1996

Multi-Resolution Environments in Simulations Workshop Agenda

Topic/Organization

Presenter

DAY 1, Monday, August 12, 1996:

1300 - 1310	Introduction and Opening Remarks	DMSO
1310 - 1355	Why Multi-Resolution Terrain is the Wrong Solution - Are We Really Asking the Right Question?	Dr. Paul Birkel - MITRE
1355 - 1440	College of Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology - Connecting the User with Multi-Resolution Environments	Nickolas Faust
1440 - 1455	Break	
1455 - 1540	Digital Mapping Laboratory Carnegie Mellon University	Professor David McKeown
1530 - 1615	Argonne National Laboratory	Dr. John Hummel
1615 - 1700	Terrain Feature Generator Technology Overview	Harold McDaniel - Intergraph
1700 - 1730	Lockheed-Martin Tactical Defense Systems Special Operations Forces Aircrew Training System	Ed Quinn
1730 - 1800	USAF Directorate of Weather - The Joint Strike Fighter Environment	Lt Col Harold Massie

DAY 2, Tuesday, August 13, 1996 ;

	Topic/Organization	Presenter
0800 - 0805	Opening Remarks	DMSO
0805 - 0850	The Improved Computer Generated Forces Terrain Database (ICTDB)	Tom Stanzione - TASC
0850 - 0935	Nichols Research Corporation FASTPROP Methodology for Multi-Resolution Atmosphere Weather Scene Simulation	Wendell Cook
0935 - 0950	Break	
0950 - 1035	NRL Monterey Program Perspective	Steve Lowe - SAIC
1035 - 1120	Analysis & Technology, Inc. Resolution & Fidelity Issues for Underwater Acoustic Environmental Modeling	Robert J. Howard
1120 - 1205	Total Atmosphere & Ocean Server	David Whitney - TASC
1205 - 1305	Lunch	
1305 - 1405	Lockheed-Martin Advanced Distributed Simulation Dynamic Virtual World Program	Richard Schaffer
1405 - 1435	US Army Topographic Engineering Center	Jungwhan John Kim
1435 - 1450	Break	
1450 - 1535	Institute for Simulation & Training University of Central Florida	Brian Goldiez
1535 - 1605	Distributed Maritime Simulation - A Case Study	Dr. George Heburn
1605 - 1705	Interoperability: It's time for action	Farid Mamaghani
1705 - 1730	Workshop Wrap Up	DMSO

Multi-Resolution Environments in Simulations

Purpose: Develop a baseline understanding of the current state of the practice in technical approaches applicable to the description, generation, and management of simulation and federation environments involving multi-resolution interaction.

Focus: Technical discussion of current implementations of multi-resolution environments as a base for initiating future technical direction and investments.

Terms of Reference

Objectives: Discuss the design factors and enabling technologies involved in multi-resolution simulations. Of specific interest are the various processes and procedures used in representing the environment at varying resolutions to achieve consistency and promote interoperability. For purposes of this workshop, discussion should address the simulation of the natural condition (to include fixed objects) of the terrain, ocean, atmosphere, and space. Discussion should also encompass factors involved in dynamic change within the environment caused by nature and human effects.

Items of Specific Interest

- Comparison of environmental impacts on models controlling emitters, sensors, and computer generated forces
- Correlation of environmental components across a broad range of applications
- Definition of fidelity requirements

Definitions:

- Resolution: granularity of the data or model output
- Fidelity: accuracy of the data or model

1. Resolution: What are the various simulation factors which define the resolution required for the environment? What factors connect resolution to fidelity?

2. Critical components in multi-resolution environment

interaction: What are critical components in achieving consistent multi-resolution interaction in the terrain, ocean, atmosphere, and space? How are these critical components derived or implemented? How is consistency maintained between different levels of resolution for these critical components?

3. Changing levels of resolution: Do level of resolution requirements change during multi-resolution simulation runtime? What instigates the need for change in resolution? How does the change in resolution occur? Does the change in resolution occur both from high level of detail to lower level of detail, and back? Is data lost through the transition? If data originally supported a lower level of detail, how does it gain information to represent the higher level of detail? If the level of resolution changes, what is the mechanism used to determine how much of the environmental representation needs to change resolution, and how often? Does a change in resolution trigger additional changes? How are the changes in resolution controlled?

4. Multiple views of the mission space: What are the factors which result in alternate views of the mission space environment? What are strategies to ensure "meaningful interaction" of federation participants?

5. Environment design: How does an environment design accommodate multi-resolution requirements? How are the various data and models integrated? What procedures are used to ensure that model outputs at different levels of fidelity and different levels of resolution are consistent ? What is done to ensure consistency between networked simulations? What is done to ensure consistency across domains or at database/inset boundaries for seamless representation?

6. Simulation management: Computational requirements are expected to change as a function of changing resolution. These changes are expected to occur during simulation runtime. How are these changes in resolution managed? How are resources allocated and prioritized to ensure the simulation resources can support resolution change?

7. Control of multi-resolution environments: What controls during runtime are required for federate/federation control of the environmental representation? How do control mechanisms change as a function of multi-resolution requirements?

8. Environmental databases: What are the database generation requirements? How is consistency between different resolutions maintained? How are changes made during runtime? How is multi-resolution consistency maintained through runtime?

9. Federate fidelity requirements: How should environmental fidelity requirements be identified ? What are key factors in determining fidelity requirements in federation design, to include multi-resolution strategy selections and implementations?

10. Metrics & Tools: What metrics and tools should be available to assess environmental factors regarding the quality of federate capability and federation interaction for V&V? What environmental V&V parameters should be retained and stored as simulation/federation information?

Workshop documentation will be available electronically through the DMSO homepage:

www.DMSO.mil

Terrain Modeling Project Office (TMPO):

Phone: (703) 275-8397 - email: halls@dma.gov or
rjacob@msis.dmsomil

Defense Mapping Agency

DMA/ATCT, MS A-13

8613 Lee Highway

Fairfax, VA 22031-2137

Ocean Executive Agent (OEA):

Phone: (202) 404-1426 - email: Oceanea@msis.dmsomil

Ocean Executive Agent

NRL Code 7306

Washington D.C. 20375

Air & Space Executive Agent (A&SEA):

Phone: (618) 256-3902 - email: ms@thunder.safb.af.mil

Air Force Combat Climatology Center

Modeling and Simulation Division

859 Buchanan Street, Room 309

Scott AFB, IL 62225-5116